



City of Killeen

Legislation Details (With Text)

File #: PH-17-024A **Version:** 1 **Name:** FLUM 17-10
Type: Ordinance/Public Hearing **Status:** Passed
File created: 3/20/2017 **In control:** City Council
On agenda: 4/25/2017 **Final action:** 4/25/2017
Title: HOLD a public hearing and consider an ordinance requested by M.A. Hoard Construction Co. II, LTD. to amend the Comprehensive Plan's Future Land Use Map (FLUM) from 'General Commercial' (GC) to 'General Residential' (GR) (FLUM# Z17-10) for 2.3 acres, being Lot 41, Block 1, Grover Park. The property is locally known as 6501 Vahrenkamp Drive, Killeen, Texas. (Tabled from April 11, 2017 Regular City Council Meeting.)
Sponsors: Development Services

Indexes:

Code sections:

Attachments: 1. Staff Report, 2. FLUM Exhibit, 3. Minutes, 4. Ordinance, 5. Application, 6. Presentation

Date	Ver.	Action By	Action	Result
4/25/2017	1	City Council	Approved	Pass
4/18/2017	1	City Council Workshop		
4/11/2017	1	City Council	Tabled	Pass
4/4/2017	1	City Council Workshop		

HOLD a public hearing and consider an ordinance requested by M.A. Hoard Construction Co. II, LTD. to amend the Comprehensive Plan's Future Land Use Map (FLUM) from 'General Commercial' (GC) to 'General Residential' (GR) (**FLUM# Z17-10**) for 2.3 acres, being Lot 41, Block 1, Grover Park. The property is locally known as 6501 Vahrenkamp Drive, Killeen, Texas. (**Tabled from April 11, 2017 Regular City Council Meeting.**)

DATE: April 4, 2017

TO: Ronald L. Olson, City Manager

FROM: Ray Shanaa, Executive Director of Planning and Development Services

SUBJECT: COMPREHENSIVE PLAN FUTURE LAND USE MAP (FLUM) AMENDMENT: 'GENERAL COMMERCIAL' (GC) TO 'GENERAL RESIDENTIAL' (GR)

BACKGROUND AND FINDINGS:

M.A. Hoard Construction Co. II submits this request to amend the Comprehensive Plan's Future Land Use Map (FLUM) to change a 'General Commercial' (GC) designated area to a 'General Residential' (GR) designated area for Lot 41, Block 1, Grover Park. The property is located at 6501 Vahrenkamp Drive, Killeen, Texas.

Land Use Plan: The property is designated as 'General Commercial' (GC) on the Future Land Use Map

(FLUM) of the Comprehensive Plan.

Plan Recommendation: The 'General Commercial' (GC) designation includes a wide range of commercial, retail and service uses, at varying scales and intensities depending on the site.

If approved, the proposed revision to the property would result in a 'General Residential' designation on the Future Land Use Map (FLUM) of the Comprehensive Plan. The 'General Residential' character encourages detached residential dwellings as the primary focus, attached housing types subject to compatibility and open space standards (e.g. duplexes), planned developments with a mix of housing types subject to compatibility and open space standards, public/institutional, parks, and other public spaces. The characteristics of this designation include:

- Predominantly "R-1" zoning district with less openness and separation between dwellings compared to Suburban Residential areas
- Auto-oriented character that can be offset with architectural standards, landscaping, and limited uniform subdivision designs
- Neighborhood-scale commercial emerging over time for well-suited areas

THE ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED:

Which alternative is recommended? Why?

The items below should be reviewed and addressed when a Future Land Use Map amendment is being considered:

- **Scope of Amendment:** Is the proposed map change limited to one or a few parcels, or would it affect a much larger area? ***The amendment would affect approximately 2.3 acres and should be considered a small scale amendment.***
- **Change in Circumstances:** What specific conditions (e.g., population size and/or characteristics, area character and building form, property/structure conditions, infrastructure or public services, market factors including need for more land in a particular designation, etc.) have changed sufficiently to render the current map designation(s) inappropriate or out-of-date? ***The surrounding area has developed with a mixture of commercial uses and residential development of single-family, two family, and multifamily zoning.***
- **Consistency with Other Plans:** In addition to the Comprehensive Plan, is the proposed map change consistent with the intent and policy direction of any applicable small area plans, utility or drainage plans, or other City plans? ***The proposed map change is not inconsistent with other City planning efforts (Water and Wastewater Master Plan, Thoroughfare Plan, Parks Master Plan).***
- **Adequate Information:** Do City staff, the Planning and Zoning Commission, and/or City Council have enough and appropriate information to move ahead with a decision (e.g., utility capacity, potential traffic impacts, other public service implications, and resident/stakeholder concerns and input)? ***Staff has sufficient information regarding utility capacity and roadway level of service for this area.***
- **Stakeholder Input:** What points, concerns, and insights have been raised by area residents, property owners, business owners, or others? ***With the exception of listing this action as a public hearing item on the Planning and Zoning Commission's agenda, there is no public***

notice requirement for this amendment action.

CONFORMITY TO CITY POLICY:

This FLUM amendment request conforms to the city's policy as detailed in the Comprehensive Plan.

FINANCIAL IMPACT:

What is the amount of the expenditure in the current fiscal year? For future years?

The proposed FLUM amendment does not involve the expenditure of city funds.

Is this a one-time or recurring expenditure?

This is not applicable.

Is this expenditure budgeted?

This is not applicable.

If not, where will the money come from?

This is not applicable.

Is there a sufficient amount in the budgeted line-item for this expenditure?

This is not applicable.

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning and Zoning Commission recommended approval of the applicant's request for amendment to the Future Land Use Map from 'General Commercial' (GC) to 'General Residential' (GR) by a vote of 3 to 2, with Commissioners McLaurin and Peters in opposition to the request. The dissenting Commissioners agreed with the opposing two residents that the current FLUM designation is appropriate for the area.

DEPARTMENTAL CLEARANCES:

This item has been reviewed by the Legal Department.

ATTACHED SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS:

Application
Minutes
Ordinance
FLUM Exhibit
PowerPoint Presentation