					(UNCL	ASSIFIE	D)				
					CKING NUM				2. TODAY'S DA		3. SUSPENS	
For use of this form, see DA Memo 25-52; the proponent agency is AASA.						23-4B3	B 122021-	055	20211			11227
4. LE/	AD STAFI	F AGENC'	Y	5. SUB		atal Sup	port Aaroc	mon	ts (IGSA) Co	ncont: LIS/		od Strov
	A	MIM-PV	NL		I Service		poir Agree		(100A) CU	ncept. 03/		iou Suay
6. RO	UTING:	183					Name, Phone	No.)			1	DIR, ECC (Initial)
	(EC	C USE O	NLY)									
		Initial	Date	COMME	NTS:					12		
1	SA											
L. States	CSA	ES WEET	1.11.5.5.00000									
	USA											
1.00	VCSA											
				ter i de la companya								
	AASA		1	5000								
1100	DAS	A AND		10 100								
and here	SMA			5								
13	DUSA											
	VDAS	2 million										
7. OR	IGIN: Rec	quirement	from -	SA 🗌	CSA		USA		VCSA	Staff I	nitiated	
						Ľ	Congress		CJCS/JS	Other		
meeu	ng/Forum/	Uther:										
	4710					_						
		THIS PAC										
				ay Animal (Control							
	BC:RS		1994 91	ay Animai v	Jonator							
							_					
9. AC	TION SEE						Guidance	L	Information Only	,		
	commend: senior leac		Johnmend		ommanu	ing Geni		v anu	sign IGSA n	nemo at FA		
10. KI		S IMPACT	TED:			Г			1			
				Funding	Equipm	_	Personnel] Training] Other	Strate:	ду	
<u>11. к</u>		(S) THE S		DERS SHOUL		1	-					
∎US	AG For	t Hood	proposes	s to partner	with the	City of K	lilleen for s	stray	animal servid	ces current	ly procure	d by USAG
			ervice co			-		·				
🗖 Thi	is IGSA	partne	rship will	result in an	estimate	d avera	ge cost sa	vings	s of \$234,361	annually t	o the Garr	ison.
						1						
	e Fort H A Conce		enior Corr	imander, L	I Gen. Ro	obert P.	White, MC	COE, (Commanding	g General o	concurred	with this
1007		shr										
12 0			CISION/CO	MMENITE								
				ISAPPROVED					ee we		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	
						NC	DTED		SEE ME		COMMENT	
HQD	A FORM	I 5, OCT	2020		(UNCL	ASSIFIE	D)	PREVIOUS E	DITIONS ARE	OBSOLETE.	APD AEM v1.00ES Page 1 of 2

(UNCLASSIFIED)

(m) (m)

14. LE/	AD AGEI	NCY STAFF COOR	DINATION	LEAD STAFF AGENCY: AMIM-PWL TRACKING NO.: HQAMC-211123-4B38 122021-05							
TITLE INITIAL			INITIAL	TYPE OR PRINT NAME							
G4 3#			1.5.1.5	For Gregory S.	20211217						
COS Str. C			Ser D	Brad Hinson, C	21 Dec 21						
						in Tm7					
Dec	3		565	OMAR J. J	jones, MG -	Approved 1	~ Fmt	3 Jan 21			
EDCO	3		ser D	J. Randall Robi		11 I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	n YmT	22 Dec 21			
	PRINCI	PAL ONLY	1AL		bram, LTG, CG			4TANCI			
ACTIO	N OFFIC	ER (Signature)		BLACKALLANSONLHUPTER.14016222 21		on Blackall, IMC		20211206			
(Name)	/Title/Phc	ne No./Email/Office	(Symbol)	972-948-8207,	anson.h.blackall.civ	@army.mil, AM	IM-PWL	-			
SACO	(Signatur	e)	1	·							
(Name/	/Title/Pho	ne No./Email)									
		TION FOR STAFF IMCOM CG I		d sign IGSA mer	no at TAB A						
45 QT	AFE CO	NONATION									
CONCUP	L		NAME	TITLE, LAST NAME)	PHONE	DATE	REMA	RKS			
	-ICONCU	OGC			(2000) 2000-20000	(YYYYMMDD)					
		OGC					· ····				
	┼岩	ІМСОМ	SJA, Ran	dolph	(210) 466-0895	20211130	No Legal Obje	ction			
\boxtimes	\mid	ID-R	McHugh,	•	(910) 570-6537	20211130					
	╎┝┤	IMCOM		Div, Douglas	(210) 466-1710	20211123					
	╎┝┤	IMCOM		O, Thompson	(210) 466-0867	20211206					
	$ \dashv$	IMCOM		Br, Morris	(210) 364-1447	20211123	<u> </u>				
Ħ	H										
岩	┟┢							_,			
누는	†						6	<u> </u>			
l H	╎┝┤										
┝╞╡╴	\mid \dashv										
片片	╎⊢										
片	╎┝┤										
┝┾┽	┼岩										
16. RE		BY ECC:				Surgiu managina anta	- restance - Looke - Tar	and a second second			



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY US ARMY INSTALLATION MANAGEMENT COMMAND 2405 GUN SHED ROAD JOINT BASE SAN ANTONIO FORT SAM HOUSTON, TX 78234-1223

AMIM-PWL (5b1)

4 January 2022

MEMORANDUM THRU U.S. Army Installation Management Command (IMCOM), IMCOM Directorate – Readiness, Building 8-1808, 4700 Knox Street, Fort Bragg, NC 28310

FOR Commander, Headquarters, United States Army Garrison, Fort Hood, Fort Hood, TX 76544-5002

SUBJECT: Intergovernmental Support Agreement (IGSA) Approval Memorandum

1. Reference USAG Fort Hood, AMIM-HDP-B, memorandum, (Intergovernmental Support Agreement (IGSA) Concept: U.S. Army Garrison Fort Hood: Stray Animal Services), Undated (Encl).

2. Approval granted to enter into the referenced IGSA between USAG Fort Hood and the City of Killeen in accordance with 10 U.S.C. § 2679. The IGSA allows USAG Fort Hood to collaborate with the City of Killeen for stray animal services support. This IGSA partnership will result in an estimated average cost savings of \$234,361 annually to the Garrison.

3. Approval granted to the USAG Fort Hood Commander to sign a non-Federal Acquisition Regulation based agreement on behalf of the Army to receive these services on terms and conditions consistent with those presented for purposes of obtaining IMCOM approval of this IGSA. The installation's legal support staff will review the IGSA prior to the USAG Commander signing to ensure it is legally sufficient and consistent with the terms of this approval.

4. Garrison will forward a copy of the executed IGSA to the Installation Management Command IGSA Program Manager, Mr. Richard Morris, within 180 days of approval date.

5. HQ IMCOM POC for this action is Mr. Richard Morris (210) 364-1447, or email: richard.I.morris56.civ@mail.mil.



DOUGLAS M. GABRAM Lieutenant General, U.S. Army Commanding

Encl

CF: Commanding General, MCoE



AMIM-HDP-B (20-005)

MEMORANDUM THRU Director, U.S. Army Installation Management Command- BLM Readiness (AMIM-REA-ZA), 4700 Knox Street, Building 8-1808, Fort Bragg, NC 28310

FOR Deputy Commanding General, U.S. Army Installation Management Command (AMIM-PWH), 2401 Gun Shed Road, Building 2261, Joint Base San Antonio, Fort Sam Houston, TX 78234

SUBJECT: Intergovernmental Support Agreement (IGSA) Concept: U.S. Army Garrison Fort Hood: Stray Animal Services

1. Reference IMCOM OPORD 20-005, IMCOM Intergovernmental Support Agreement (IGSA) Program, 27 January 2020.

2. Scope: Fort Hood will partner with the City of Killeen for the provision of services relating to the capture and confinement of stray animals, which are currently obtained through a service contract.

3. Objectives: Pursue a partnership with the City of Killeen to realize both financial savings and administrative/operational efficiencies in the capture and confinement of stray animals on the Fort Hood Installation.

4. Discussion: Currently, Fort Hood obtains stray animal services via a FAR-based service contract executed through the Mission Installation Contracting Command (MICC). The current contract includes capture and confinement, operation of the on-post stray animal facility, adoptions, vaccinations, and some limited veterinary services.

a. Fort Hood proposes to obtain these services using a 10-year (base plus 9 years) IGSA that will offer financial savings and operational efficiencies.

b. The Performance Work Statement (PWS) will detail the service agreement between the City of Killeen and Fort Hood. The PWS will include items such as facility operations, response times, adoption and return-to-owner procedures, and limited veterinary care.

c. The City of Killeen, as the service provider, will operate the on-post stray animal facility, respond to service calls, and capture stray domesticated animals. Fort Hood will provide an IGSA-Manager (IGSA-M) and an IGSA Technical Representative (IGSA-TR) that will perform duties as outlined in IGSA OPORD 20-005.

AMIM-HDP-B (20-005)

SUBJECT: Intergovernmental Support Agreement (IGSA) Concept: U.S. Army Garrison Fort Hood: Stray Animal Services

5. Benefit Analysis:

a. This IGSA will reduce the cost of stray animal services on Fort Hood by approximately \$2.5M over the course of 10 years, and will provide opportunities for efficiencies resulting from the partnership between the City and the Installation.

b. The IGSA will resolve the issue of contractually allowing a service provider to hold an animal for greater than 72 hours. Under the IGSA, Fort Hood transfers the responsibility of the animal to the City of Killeen after 72 hours.

c. Response times for service calls and after-hours response will not be impacted.

d. These benefits will result in overall improved administration of the service requirements and reduce costs.

6. Risk Analysis: If the IGSA is not approved, then Fort Hood will continue to use a FAR-based contract. Fort Hood will adhere to the 72-hour confinement limitation, and the cost of handling the animals after that period will add to the existing cost of the contract.

7. The point of contact for this memorandum is Jill Alexander, (254) 288-2422, or jill.m.alexander.civ@army.mil.

2 Encls 1. IGSA Coversheet 2. COA Determination

CHAD R. FOSTER COL, AR Commanding

Enclosure 1 IGSA Coversheet

	nt is intended to support the auditability of the IGSA program at installation and HQ IMCOM levels.				
Preparer's Initials	Questions/Procedures				
JMA	1. Installation and Partners: Fort Hood and City of Killeen				
JMA	2. Is the proposed function currently executed by the Army via contract? <u>X</u> Yes No				
	 2a. Identify the type of contract with a checkmark: (8(a) Set-Aside and A-1 contracts require coordination which notifies stakeholders Army is pursueing an IGSA IAW DA EXORD and Title 10 Section 2679. NOTE A-1 coordination conducted at HQ IMCOM 8(a) Set-AsideAbilityOne _X_Other 8(a) Coordination Completed 				
	2b. Contract award date: 01 July 2017				
	2c. How many option years remain? ●No option years remain; contract is in 4 th option period.				
	2d. Contract expiration date: • 30 June 2022				
	2e. Current annual cost of the contract: • \$1,176,361.56				
	2f. Current Contract Number: • W91151-17-C-0047				
JMA	3. Has this function ever been performed by a Department of the Army Civilian?Yes _XNo				
	3a. When was the last time this work was performed (year)? N/A				
JMA	4. Length of IGSA (Not to exceed 10-years): 10 year				
JMA	5. Annual IGSA cost:				
	On average - \$942K annually, ranging from \$808K to \$1.2M per year.				
JMA	6. Estimated annual savings from transition to IGSA: \$234,361.00 on average				
JMA	7. Estimated cost reduction percentage: 20%				
JMA	8. Estimated cost avoidance (if any): Euthanasia costs estimated at \$100.00 per animal.				
JMA	9. Services provided: Stray Animal Capture and Confinement.				
JMA	10. Has the installation Senior Commander concurred with the IGSA Concept Packet? X Yes No				
JMA	11. IGSA Manager and Technical Representative (TR) (Name, title, email and phone): IGSA-M, Jill Alexander, Chief Business Operations and Integration, jill.m.alexander.civ@army.mil, 254-288-2422. IGSA-TR, Sean Goodwin, Chief Municipal Services Branch, sean.d.goodwin.civ@army.mil,				

Enclosure 2 Course of Action Determination

1. Constraints of Analysis:

a. Facts:

(1) Fact 1: City of Killeen is a municipality that currently offers stray animal capture and confinement services.

(2) Fact 2: Fort Hood currently obtains stray animal services through the use of an existing Federal Acquistion Regulation (FAR)-based contracting vehicle.

(3) Fact 3: The current contact allows animals to be held for longer than 3 days.

(4) Fact 4: The use of an IGSA will reduce costs over a multi-year period and will resolve the 3-day confinement limitation using a FAR contract.

b. Assumptions:

(1) Assumption 1: The Directorate of Public Works will continue to be responsible for stray animal response on Fort Hood.

(2) Assumption 2: Veterinary services and animal throughput will remain consistent with historical values.

(3) Assumption 3: Revising the contract language to hold animals for no more than 3 days will not reduce overall contract costs.

2. Courses of Action (COA):

a. COA 1 (Status Quo): Do Nothing. Continue with FAR-based contract.

(1) Attribute of COA: Costs will continue to exceed \$1M annually.

(2) Attribute of COA: Performance Work Statement will need to be re-written to handle animals after 72 hours in confinement.

(3) Total Period of Performance Cost of COA: Not to Exceed \$12,100,000.00.

b. COA 2: Implement IGSA Concept.

(1) Attribute of COA: Fort Hood partners with the City of Killeen to capture and care for stray animals.

(2) Attribute of COA: Annual costs will decline.

(3) Total Period of Performance Cost of COA: Not to Exceed \$10,000,000.00.

3. Selection Criteria and Decision Matrix: In accordance with 10 U.S.C. 2679, an IGSA is determined to be in the best interest of the Service (Army) by enhancing mission effectiveness, creating efficiencies, creating economies of scale, and by reducing costs. These benefits translate into four (4) selection criteria factors that will be analyzed through the COA determination matrix exercise.

a. IGSA Selection Criteria Factors:

- 1. Efficiencies: Creates an efficiency or economies of scale.
- 2. Service Cost: Reduces cost of service.
- 3. Quality of Life: Improves quality of life for Soldiers and Families.

b. COA Determination Matrix:

Evaluation Criteria	Weight	CO (Statu	A 1 s Quo)	COA 2 (IGSA)	
		Rating	Score*	Rating	Score*
Efficiencies	25.0%	3	0.75	2	0.50
Cost	50.0%	5	2.50	1	0.25
Quality of Life	25.0%	3	0.75	2	0.50
Score	100%	4.0		1.25	
• Scoring - Individually scored p	per criteria with	in the COA	produces	the preferi	ed COA.

- A weight factor is provided per criteria.
- A Rating (1-5) provided as:
 - 1-Excellent; 2-Good; 3-Fair; 4-Poor; 5-Worse
- This is a scoring matrix not a ranking.
- Score is (Weight factor X Rating)
- Lowest IS BEST

4. Detailed cost comparison: Please see below Course of Action Determination cost comparison.

Cost Comparison					
Supplies / Services	COA 1 (Contract)	COA 2 (IGSA)	Delta		
	\$1,176,361.56	\$942,000.00	\$234,361.00		
Total	\$1,176,361.56	\$942,000.00	\$234,361.00		

5. Recommendation: The IGSA between the City of Killeen and Fort Hood is clearly in the best interest of the Army, Fort Hood, and the surrounding community. As mentioned, the ISGA will provide cost efficiencies and a valuable partnership with the community, thus the recommendation is to implement the transition from a FAR-based contract to an IGSA.