



**EVALUATION TABULATION**  
RFQ No. 26-09  
Design Services for Goodnight Ranch Community Park  
RESPONSE DEADLINE: September 17, 2025 at 2:00 pm  
Report Generated: Wednesday, October 1, 2025

**PHASE 1**

**EVALUATION CRITERIA**

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
Company Profile & Qualifications	Points Based	20 (20% of Total)

Description:

**Evaluation Focus:**

- Years in business and relevant industry expertise
- Company size and structure
- Valid professional licenses and certifications
- Compliance with local and state regulations
- Subconsultant qualifications and compliance

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
Project Team & Experience	Points Based	25 (25% of Total)

Description:

**Evaluation Focus:**

EVALUATION TABULATION

RFQ No. 26-09

Design Services for Goodnight Ranch Community Park

---

- Qualifications and credentials of key personnel
- Relevant experience on similar park, trail, and floodplain adjacent projects
- Resumes demonstrating expertise and licenses
- Clear project roles and team organization
- Quality and relevance of past project examples with references

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
Technical Approach & Methodology	Points Based	30 (30% of Total)

Description:

**Evaluation Focus:**

- Clarity and logic of the proposed design & CA process
- Strategies for community and stakeholder engagement, if needed
- Coordination strategy with City staff
- Quality assurance and quality control (QA/QC) methods
- Accessibility (ADA/TAS)

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
Schedule, Deliverables & Work Plan	Points Based	25 (25% of Total)

Description:

**Evaluation Focus:**

---

EVALUATION TABULATION

Request For Qualification - Design Services for Goodnight Ranch Community Park

EVALUATION TABULATION

RFQ No. 26-09

Design Services for Goodnight Ranch Community Park

---

- Realistic and detailed project timeline
- Clear approach to preparing and updating OPCC
- Flexibility for staff reviews and revisions
- Phasing plan for future park development, as needed
- Completeness and clarity of deliverables suitable for public bid
- Approach to cost efficiency and maintaining constructability

**AGGREGATE SCORES SUMMARY**

Vendor	Evaluator 1	Evaluator 2	Evaluator 3	Evaluator 4	Total Score (Max Score 100)	Total Average Rank
Half Associates, Inc.	96 (3)	96 (2)	94 (2)	92 (4.5)	<b>94.5</b>	<b>2.88</b>
AtkinsRéalis USA, Inc.	96 (3)	97 (1)	88 (7)	95 (2)	<b>94</b>	<b>3.25</b>
Parkhill, Smith & Cooper, Inc. dba Parkhill	95 (5.5)	93 (4)	90 (5.5)	92 (4.5)	<b>92.5</b>	<b>4.88</b>
Rialto Studio, Inc.	96 (3)	84 (10)	95 (1)	95 (2)	<b>92.5</b>	<b>4</b>
Asakura Robinson Company LLC	100 (1)	86 (9)	86 (9.5)	95 (2)	<b>91.75</b>	<b>5.38</b>
Burditt Consultants, LLC	90 (9)	92 (5.5)	93 (3)	90 (7)	<b>91.25</b>	<b>6.13</b>
Studio 16:19, LLC	95 (5.5)	92 (5.5)	86 (9.5)	90 (7)	<b>90.75</b>	<b>6.88</b>
MHS Planning & Design LLC	92 (7.5)	89 (7)	87 (8)	90 (7)	<b>89.5</b>	<b>7.38</b>
Studio Outside	92 (7.5)	88 (8)	90 (5.5)	85 (9)	<b>88.75</b>	<b>7.5</b>

EVALUATION TABULATION

RFQ No. 26-09

Design Services for Goodnight Ranch Community Park

Vendor	Evaluator 1	Evaluator 2	Evaluator 3	Evaluator 4	Total Score (Max Score 100)	Total Average Rank
RVi Planning + Landscape Architecture	87 (10)	95 (3)	92 (4)	80 (10.5)	<b>88.5</b>	<b>6.88</b>
Covey Planning + Landscape Architecture	74 (11)	70 (11)	73 (11)	80 (10.5)	<b>74.25</b>	<b>10.88</b>

VENDOR SCORES BY EVALUATION CRITERIA

Vendor	Company Profile & Qualifications Points Based 20 Points (20%)	Project Team & Experience Points Based 25 Points (25%)	Technical Approach & Methodology Points Based 30 Points (30%)	Schedule, Deliverables & Work Plan Points Based 25 Points (25%)	Total Score (Max Score 100)
Half Associates, Inc.	20	23.3	28	23.3	<b>94.5</b>
AtkinsRéalis USA, Inc.	19.5	23	27.3	24.3	<b>94</b>
Parkhill, Smith & Cooper, Inc. dba Parkhill	20	21.5	27.5	23.5	<b>92.5</b>
Rialto Studio, Inc.	18.8	22.8	28.8	22.3	<b>92.5</b>
Asakura Robinson Company LLC	19	24.5	27	21.3	<b>91.75</b>
Burditt Consultants, LLC	19.8	22.3	26.5	22.8	<b>91.25</b>
Studio 16:19, LLC	19	21.3	28	22.5	<b>90.75</b>
MHS Planning & Design LLC	18.3	19.8	28.3	23.3	<b>89.5</b>
Studio Outside	17.3	22.3	26.3	23	<b>88.75</b>
RVi Planning + Landscape Architecture	20	22.5	24	22	<b>88.5</b>

EVALUATION TABULATION

Request For Qualification - Design Services for Goodnight Ranch Community Park

EVALUATION TABULATION

RFQ No. 26-09

Design Services for Goodnight Ranch Community Park

---

Vendor	Company Profile & Qualifications Points Based 20 Points (20%)	Project Team & Experience Points Based 25 Points (25%)	Technical Approach & Methodology Points Based 30 Points (30%)	Schedule, Deliverables & Work Plan Points Based 25 Points (25%)	Total Score (Max Score 100)
Covey Planning + Landscape Architecture	17.8	20.5	20.5	15.5	<b>74.25</b>